## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the			ieriiçii ike			
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  To: Svetlana Shtadler REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS			PCT			
P.O.B. 4060 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL 61040			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01 SFD 2008			
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
156350-1 DK International application No. International filing date			(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
		16 December 2004 (16.1				
International Patent Classifi	cation (IPC)	or both national classification	on and IPC	10 December 2003 (18.12.2003)		
IPC(7): H01S 3/10 and US	Cl.: 372/20					
Applicant						
YEDA RESEARCH AND I	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LTD					
1. This opinion contains in	ndications rel	ating to the following item	s:			
Box No. I	Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II	Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTIO	N					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
	Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US			Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn Commissioner for Pa			Min Harvey	\		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450		Telephone No. 776	33)306-3329		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-323	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230  Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)					
rum rumana) (covet sh	CEL) (January)	£UU4)				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/01141	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With was f	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With invent	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
	•
	·

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01141

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	YESNO					
•	YES NO					
	YES NO					
2. Citations and explanations:  Claim 71 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Edlinger et al. (US5675691). Edlinger disclose in Fig.3b and col.2, 1.47-67 the beam coupler 7 assembly comprising a plane parallel plate with its front and rear facets carrying first and second gratings, respectively, the first grating splitting the light into various diffraction orders and allowing their propagation inside the plate towards the second grating.  Claim 72 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Terahara et al. (EP0982620 A2). Terahara disclose in Fig.2 the beam coupler assembly comprising two polarizers (P1 and P2) accommodated in a spaced-apart relationship along an axis of light propagation through the resonator cavity; and an optical element configured as a 45 degree polarization rotator between the two polarizers.  Claims 1-70 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the Zhang (US2003/0063633), Edlinger, and Terahara prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the limitations of the beam coupler assembly configured to split light impinging thereon into a predetermined number of spatially separated light channels, and to cause phase locking and at least partial coherent combining of the light channels, which have common longitudinal and transverse modes, in a double pass through the beam coupler assembly.  Claims 1-72 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.						
ia la	laims 1-70 laims 1-70 laims 1-70 laims 1-72 laims 1-72 laims 1-72 laims NONE  cipated by Edlinger et al. (US e parallel plate with its front a various diffraction orders and cipated by Terahara et al. (EF) accommodated in a spacedent configured as a 45 degree to the configured as a 45 degree and to cause phase locking and see modes, in a double pass the					